

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viriginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/885,911	06/22/2001	Takahiro Ikeda	107307.01	6824
25944 7.	590 11/04/2004		EXAMINER	
	RRIDGE, PLC		WORKU, N	IEGUSSIE
P.O. BOX 1992 ALEXANDRIA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	-,		2626	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\ \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	/				
	09/885,911	IKEDA, TAKAHIRO					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Negussie Worku	2626					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address -	-				
• •	AEDLY 10 OFT TO EVEIDE AMO	NITU(C) FDOM					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re on. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communica NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ition.				
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	22 June 2001.						
3) Since this application is in condition for al	<i>,</i> —						
closed in accordance with the practice un	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the applic	ation.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.	_						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection t							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority docu		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	eceived in this National Stage					
application from the International B	, , , ,	and the desired of					
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not r	aceivea.					
1()e()							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	ımmary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5) Notice of III						

Application/Control Number: 09/885,911

Art Unit: 2626

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

- 1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).
- 2. A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.
- 3. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-7 of prior U.S. application No. 09/707956. This is a double patenting rejection.
- 4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent

Application/Control Number: 09/885,911

Art Unit: 2626

and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 8 -14 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of copending Application No.09707956. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 1 of the parent discloses controlling device which instructs illuminating device to irradiate illumination and direct timing signal charges to said transferring unit under a predetermined condition, which is broader than the controlling device claimed in claims 8 and 11 of the instant application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Art Unit: 2626

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Negussie Worku whose telephone number is 305-5441. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kimberly Williams can be reached on 703-305-4863. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Negussie Worku

KAMBERLY WILLIAMS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER